

# Tonsillectomy (Adults and Children)

## June 2017

**This policy applies to patients for whom the following Clinical Commissioning Groups are responsible:**

- NHS South Worcestershire Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)
- NHS Redditch & Bromsgrove Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)
- NHS Wyre Forest Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG)

*Collectively referred to as the Worcestershire CCGs*

### **COMMISSIONING SUMMARY**

Worcestershire CCGs (also termed “the Commissioner” in this document) will only fund Tonsillectomy in adults and children if the following eligibility criteria are met:

- seven or more well documented, clinically significant,\* adequately treated\*\* sore throats in the preceding year
- or
- five or more such episodes in each of the preceding two years

Specified indications for immediate referral (eg. malignancy, upper airways obstruction) are also commissioned and further detail is provided in section 6 of this document.

\* A clinically significant episode is determined as symptom duration of several days, which is disabling and prevents normal functioning<sup>1</sup> (e.g. school or work loss, lost sleep and inability to eat).

\*\* Adequately treated means treatment with antibiotics in cases of proven or suspected streptococcal infection.

**Do you need this document in other languages or formats (i.e. large print)? Please contact the Communications Team on  
01905 681956**

**Document Details:**

<b>Version:</b>	V2.2
<b>Ratified by (name and date of Committee):</b>	Decision-Making Group – June 2010 1st April 2013 – this policy was formally adopted by:  NHS South Worcestershire Clinical Commissioning Group NHS Redditch & Bromsgrove Clinical Commissioning Group NHS Wyre Forest Clinical Commissioning Group
<b>Date issued:</b>	Reissued June 2017
<b>Internal Review Date:</b>	Documents will be reviewed as a minimum every 3 years.  However, earlier revisions to the policy may be made in light of published updates to local and national evidence of effectiveness and cost effectiveness and/or recommendations and guidelines from local, national and international clinical professional bodies.  Date to Initiate Review: June 2020
<b>Lead Executive/Director:</b>	Ms Chris Emerson, Head of Acute Commissioning
<b>Name of originator/author:</b>	Ms Chris Emerson – Original Version Updates - Mrs Helen Bryant and Mrs Fiona Bates
<b>Target audience:</b>	Patients, GPs, Secondary Care and Primary Care (Community) Providers, Independent Sector Providers
<b>Distribution:</b>	As above
<b>Equality &amp; Diversity Impact Assessment</b>	8th September 2010

**Key individuals involved in developing the document:**

<b>Name</b>	<b>Designation</b>	<b>Version Reviewed</b>
Chris Emerson	Head of Acute Commissioning, NHS Worcestershire (NHSW)	V1.0, V2.0, V2.1
Dr Stuart Bourne	Deputy Director Public Health NHSW	V1.0
Mr Martin Porter	ENT Consultant, Worcestershire Acute Hospitals NHS Trust (WAHT)	V1.0
Mr Lance Hollis	Clinical Director for ENT, WAHT	V1.0
Dr Susanna Panton	GP Spa Practice Droitwich	V1.0
Dr Chris Perks	GP Pershore	V1.0
Debbie Hinton	Head of Audiology Services, WAHT	V1.0

Name	Designation	Version Reviewed
Rosemary Williams	S.Worcestershire PBC Cluster	V1.0
Jo Tomlinson	ENT Directorate Manager, WAHT	V1.0
Mrs J Thomas	PPI Representative	V1.0

### Circulated to the following individuals/groups for comments:

Name	Date	Versions Reviewed
Clinical Commissioning Policy Collaborative, which includes: GPs, Commissioners, Medicines Commissioning, Public Health, Patient and Public Representatives	Various in line with meeting schedule and workstream	All versions
Elective Care Clinical Review Group	Various in line with meeting schedule and workstream	V2.2

### Version Control:

Version No	Type of Change	Date	Description of change
1.0	Initial Document	June 2010	Initial policy document produced
2.1	Minor change	April 2013	Reformatted to reflect changes of responsible commissioner organisations within Worcestershire
2.2	Minor Change	May 2017	Reformatted to use updated template. Verification that clinical change to content of policy unnecessary

### Table of Contents

1. Definitions.....	4
2. Scope of policy .....	4
3. Background .....	5
4. Relevant National Guidance and Facts .....	6
5. Patient Eligibility.....	6
6. Supporting Documents .....	7
7. Equality Impact Assessment.....	8

## 1. Definitions

- 1.1 The term 'sore throat' describes the symptom of pain at the back of the mouth. Clinical descriptions of acute sore throat include:
- Acute **pharyngitis**: inflammation of the part of the throat behind the soft palate (oropharynx).
  - **Tonsillitis**: inflammation of the tonsils.
- 1.2 **Exceptional clinical circumstances** are clinical circumstances pertaining to a particular patient, which can properly be described as exceptional, when compared to the clinical circumstances of other patients with the same clinical condition and at the same stage of development of that condition (i.e. similar patients). A patient with **exceptional clinical circumstances** will have clinical features or characteristics which differentiate that patient from other patients in that cohort and result in that patient being likely to obtain significantly greater clinical benefit (than those other patients) from the intervention for which funding is sought.
- 1.3 A **Similar Patient** is a patient who is likely to be in the same or similar clinical circumstances as the requesting patient and who could reasonably be expected to benefit from the requested treatment to the same or a similar degree. The existence of more than one similar patients indicates that a decision regarding the commissioning of a **service development** or commissioning policy is required of the Commissioner.
- 1.4 An **individual funding request (IFR)** is a request received from a provider or a patient with explicit support from a clinician, which seeks exceptional funding for a single identified patient for a specific treatment.
- 1.5 An **in-year service development** is any aspect of healthcare, other than one which is the subject of a successful individual funding request, which the Commissioner agrees to fund outside of the annual commissioning round. Such unplanned investment decisions should only be made in exceptional circumstances because, unless they can be funded through disinvestment, they will have to be funded as a result of either delaying or aborting other planned developments.

## 2. Scope of policy

- 2.1 This policy is part of a suite of locally endorsed Commissioning Policies. Copies of these Commissioning Policies are available on the following website address: <http://www.redditchandbromsgroveccg.nhs.uk/about-us/strategies-policies-and-procedures/commissioning-ifr/>
- 2.2 This policy applies to all patients for whom the Worcestershire CCGs have responsibility including:
- People provided with primary medical services by GP practices which are members of any one of the CCGs and
  - People usually resident in any of the areas covered by the CCG's and not provided with primary medical services by any CCG.
- 2.3 This policy covers patients presenting with recurrent tonsillitis in whom a tonsillectomy procedure, which has an OPCS code of F34, may be considered clinically appropriate.

- 2.4 Where a patient's clinical presentation does not clearly meet the requirements for secondary care referral within the context of this policy, and where a GP is uncertain or concerned about the appropriate treatment/management pathway, referral for Advice & Guidance should be considered as an alternative to a referral for clinical assessment.
- 2.5 There may be occasions when a GP referral is made for specialist assessment which appears to meet the policy requirements, but which on specialist clinical examination either does not meet the clinical criteria for surgery or is not considered clinically suitable for surgery. Such patients should be discharged without surgery.
- 2.6 For patients who do not fall within the eligibility criteria set out in the policy but where there is demonstrable evidence that the patient has exceptional clinical circumstances, an Individual Funding Request may be submitted for consideration. The referring clinician should consult the Commissioner's "Operational Policy for Individual Funding Requests" document for further guidance on this process.

For a definition of the term "exceptional clinical circumstances", please refer to the Definitions section of this document.

### 3. Background

- 3.1. The NHS Constitution, which details the principles and values that guide the NHS, has been applied in the agreement of this policy.
- 3.2. NHS Redditch & Bromsgrove Clinical Commissioning Group, NHS South Worcestershire Clinical Commissioning Group and NHS Wyre Forest Clinical Commissioning Group consider all lives of all patients whom they serve to be of equal value and, in making decisions about funding treatment for patients, will seek not to discriminate on the grounds of sex, age, sexual orientation, ethnicity, educational level, employment, marital status, religion or disability except where a difference in the treatment options made available to patients is directly related a particular patient's clinical condition or is related to the anticipated benefits to be derived from a proposed form of treatment.
- 3.3. Surgical removal of the tonsils (tonsillectomy) is one of the commonest major operations carried out on children. Increasingly, it is performed on adults who in the past would almost certainly have had their tonsils removed in childhood as a matter of routine. However, the procedure is a controversial one, and opinions vary greatly as to the relative risks and benefits. The risks of surgery include those of the associated general anaesthetic and those specific to the procedure, for example bleeding immediately after surgery or as a result of secondary infection in the 10 to 14-day period after surgery.
- 3.4. Tonsillectomy can prevent recurrent acute attacks of tonsillitis, but not recurrent sore throats due to other causes. Before considering tonsillectomy, the diagnosis of recurrent tonsillitis should be confirmed by history and clinical examination and, if possible, differentiated from generalised pharyngitis.
- 3.5. The natural history of tonsillitis is for the episodes to get less frequent with time, but epidemiological data are lacking in all age groups to allow a prediction of this to be made in individual patients.
- 3.6. Tonsillectomy requires a short admission to hospital and a general anaesthetic, is painful, and is occasionally complicated by bleeding. Return to usual activities takes on average two weeks, with a corresponding loss of time from education or work.

- 3.7. Evidence on exactly which children with sore throats benefit from tonsillectomy is not available, but current evidence suggests that the benefit of tonsillectomy increases with the severity and frequency of sore throats prior to tonsillectomy. Apart from adults with proven recurrent group A streptococcal pharyngitis (GAHSP), evidence on which adults will benefit from tonsillectomy is not available.

## 4. Relevant National Guidance and Facts

- 4.1 Recurrent acute sore throat is a very common condition presenting in primary care and tonsillectomy is one of the most common operations. It presents a significant burden of disease; in the period quarter 1 to quarter 4 2014/15 10,155 tonsillectomies were carried out for recurrent tonsillitis in children (less than 17 years) and 2,228 in adults in England.
- 4.2 The following national guidance is available. Whilst only the Scottish Intercollegiate guidance (SIGN) was available at the time of the original policy development, the joint Royal College of Surgeons and ENT-UK Commissioning Guide refers to the SIGN recommendations as does NICE Clinical Knowledge Summaries. In view of this it was considered unnecessary to change the clinical eligibility criteria for accessing tonsillectomy detailed in section 6.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN): Management of sore throat and indications for tonsillectomy Clinical Guideline 117; April 2010
  - NICE Clinical Knowledge Summaries. Last Revised July 2015
  - Royal College of Surgeons and ENT-UK Commissioning Guide: Tonsillectomy. 2016

## 5. Patient Eligibility

- 5.1 Tonsillectomy is automatically recommended when :
- There is suspicion of malignancy, typically squamous carcinoma or lymphoma;
  - Severe tonsillitis or peritonsillar abscess (quinsy) resulting in hospitalisation;
  - Tonsillar enlargement causes upper airways obstruction or sleep disruption in children witnessed by their parents or carers.
- 5.2 The following criteria are indications for consideration of tonsillectomy for both children and adults:
- seven or more well documented, clinically significant,\* adequately treated\*\* sore throats in the preceding year
- or
- five or more such episodes in each of the preceding two years
- A clinically significant episode is determined as symptom duration of several days, which is disabling and prevents normal functioning<sup>i</sup> (e.g. school or work loss, lost sleep and inability to eat).
- \*\* Adequately treated means treatment with antibiotics in cases of proven or suspected streptococcal infection.
- 5.3 In considering whether a patient meets these criteria, there may be difficulty in documenting the frequency of episodes because patients do not always consult

when they have an episode. There may also be uncertainty about whether the sore throats are due to acute tonsillitis or other causes.

- 5.4 When the incidence of cases cannot be clearly ascertained, a period of watchful waiting of at least six months, during which the patient or parent can more objectively record the number, duration and severity of the episodes, should be undertaken. This allows a more balanced judgement to be made as to the likely benefit or otherwise of tonsillectomy. This should be reported back to the GP after six months, to enable an appropriate referral decision to be made.
- 5.5 **When outside the three automatic referral criteria, a clear statement is required in the GP referral proforma indicating that the patient meets the eligibility criteria for surgery. This should reference the number of episodes of tonsillitis over a specified time period, or that a six month period of watchful waiting has been undertaken. The basis for any referrals made outside these criteria should be made clear in the referral proforma.**
- 5.6 **There may be occasions when a GP referral is made for specialist ENT assessment which appears to meet the policy requirements, but which on specialist clinical examination either does not meet the clinical criteria for surgery or is not considered clinically suitable for surgery. Such patients should be discharged without surgery.**

## 6. Supporting Documents

- Worcestershire CCGs: Operational Policy for Individual Funding Requests
- Worcestershire CCGs: Prioritisation Framework for the Commissioning of Healthcare Services
- NHS England: Ethical Framework for Priority Setting Resource Allocation
- NHS England: Individual Funding Requests
- NHS Constitution, updated 27<sup>th</sup> July 2015
- Worcestershire CCGs Commissioning Policy: Tonsillectomy (Adults and Children) July 2010

### ***Clinical Evidence Review References (from 2010 policy development):***

- Burton MJ, Glasziou PP. Tonsillectomy or adeno-tonsillectomy versus non-surgical treatment for chronic/recurrent acute tonsillitis. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2009, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD001802. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001802.pub2
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (2010) Management of sore throat and indications for tonsillectomy : A national clinical guideline <http://www.sign.ac.uk/guidelines/fulltext/117/index.html>
- Paradise JL, Bluestone CD, Bachman RZ, Colborn DK, Bernard BS, Taylor FH, et al. Efficacy of tonsillectomy for recurrent throat infection in severely affected children. *New England Journal of Medicine* 1984;310(11):674-83. [PUBMED: 6700642 ]
- Indications for Tonsillectomy: Position Paper ENT UK 2009 [http://www.entuk.org/position\\_papers/documents/tonsillectomy](http://www.entuk.org/position_papers/documents/tonsillectomy)

## 7. Equality Impact Assessment

Organisation

Department

Name of lead person

Piece of work being assessed

Aims of this piece of work

Date of EIA  Other partners/stakeholders involved

Who will be affected by this piece of work?

Single Equality Scheme Strand	Baseline data and research on the population that this piece of work will affect. What is available? E.g. population data, service user data. What does it show? Are there any gaps? Use both quantitative data and qualitative data where possible. <b>Include consultation with service users wherever possible</b>	Is there likely to be a differential impact? Yes, no, unknown
<b>Gender</b>	There is little evidence to confirm whether being male or female increases the risk of tonsillitis in terms of severity or recurrence.  The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so their gender should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.	No
<b>Race</b>	There is little evidence to confirm whether being from a specific ethnic race increases the risk of tonsillitis in terms of severity or recurrence.  The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so their ethnicity should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.	No

<b>Disability</b>	<p>There is little evidence to confirm whether having a disability increases the risk of tonsillitis in terms of severity or recurrence.</p> <p>The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so having a disability should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.</p>	No
<b>Religion/ belief</b>	<p>There is no evidence to confirm whether being part of a specific religion or belief system increases the risk of tonsillitis in terms of severity or recurrence.</p> <p>The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so their beliefs should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.</p>	No
<b>Sexual orientation</b>	<p>There is no evidence to confirm whether sexual orientation increases the risk of tonsillitis in terms of severity or recurrence.</p> <p>The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so their sexual orientation should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.</p>	No
<b>Age</b>	<p>There is some evidence that children have a higher incidence rate of tonsillitis infections. However, the natural history of tonsillitis is for the episodes to get less frequent with time but epidemiological data are lacking in all age groups to allow a prediction of this to be made in individual patients.</p> <p>There is little evidence to assist in identifying which patients (child or adult), other than adults with recurrent group A streptococcal pharyngitis (GAHSP), will benefit from tonsillectomy surgery.</p> <p>The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so their age should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.</p>	No
<b>Social</b>	There may be a link between social deprivation and recurrent tonsillitis, as most incidences of	No

<b>deprivation</b>	<p>tonsillitis are the result of a number viral infections (common cold, influenza, parainfluenza, enteroviruses etc).</p> <p>However, the CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so their social deprivation should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy.</p>	
<b>Carers</b>	<p>There is no evidence to confirm whether being a carer increases the risk of tonsillitis in terms of severity or recurrence.</p> <p>The CCG policy endorses the provision of NHS funded surgery based on the individual's disease history, so whether the individual is a carer or not should not be a factor in the decision making process or the application of the policy</p>	No
<b>Human rights</b>	The CCG policy does not seek to impact on an individual's human rights.	No

